

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,682	08/05/2003	Takunori Iki	116507	6875
25944	7590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
	ERRIDGE, PLC	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2812	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	at
		10/633,682	TAKUNORI IKI	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexander G. Ghyka	2812	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		correspondence addres	;s
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state pelly received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		erits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Application 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and con Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. I/or election requirement. Iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected are drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ <i>i</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a lie	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	g e
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/633,682 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

The response of 11/18/2005 has been considered and entered in the record. The rejection in view of the Song et al reference (US 6,781,651) has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendments. The following obviousness double patenting rejection is made. Since the Examiner used the incorrect statutory language in the previous Office action, this Office action is a NON-Final rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-13 and 15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21

Application/Control Number: 10/633,682

on control reamber. To/coo,

Art Unit: 2812

of copending Application No. 10/690,270. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, that the present claims are encompassed by the claims of 10/690,270, as the claims of 10/690,270 require, data lines, scanning lines, electrodes, storage capacitors, thin film transistors and nitride layers along the data line along with a nitride film comprising an aperture and an electrode below and above its surface.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander G. Ghyka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday during general business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/633,682

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AGG January 19, 2006

> ALEXANDER GHYKA PRIMARY EXAMINER